UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,118	06/23/2003	Peter T. Robertson	RF010906USNP	7175
57572 MARK S. NOV	7590 09/16/200 VOTARSKI	EXAMINER		
30 GLEN TERRACE			RINES, ROBERT D	
STAMFORD, CT 06906			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/601,118	ROBERTSON ET AL.  Art Unit	
Beth V. Boswell	3623	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal E	Brief Request for Review filed 27 July 2009.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Refreason(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not inclu	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. Ide reasons why a review is appropriate. Included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal bric brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the noti	t Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ef in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ole.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>37-39 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from cons	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ction is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Beth V. Boswell</u> .	(3) <u>R. David Rines</u> .
(2) Vincent Millin/vm/.	(4)
/B. V. B./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	/R. D. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Unit 3623